

United States Patent and Trademark Office

-10

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/789,965	02/27/2004	Christopher P. Adams	3400-0009	9199
23980 7590 04/23/2007 MINTZ, LEVIN, COHN, FERRIS, GLOVSKY AND POPEO, P.C 1400 PAGE MILL ROAD			EXAMINER	
			ALSTRUM ACEVEDO, JAMES HENRY	
PALO ALTO, CA 94304-1124		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1616	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			04/23/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Application No. Applicant(s) 10/789,965 ADAMS ET AL. Notice of Abandonment Examiner **Art Unit** James H. Alstrum-Acevedo 1616 -- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address-This application is abandoned in view of: 1. Applicant's failure to timely file a proper reply to the Office letter mailed on 9/18/2006. (a) A reply was received on ____ (with a Certificate of Mailing or Transmission dated ___ ___), which is after the expiration of the period for reply (including a total extension of time of _____ month(s)) which expired on ___ (b) A proposed reply was received on _____, but it does not constitute a proper reply under 37 CFR 1.113 (a) to the final rejection. (A proper reply under 37 CFR 1.113 to a final rejection consists only of: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114). (c) A reply was received on but it does not constitute a proper reply, or a bona fide attempt at a proper reply, to the nonfinal rejection. See 37 CFR 1.85(a) and 1.111. (See explanation in box 7 below). (d) No reply has been received. 2. Applicant's failure to timely pay the required issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, within the statutory period of three months from the mailing date of the Notice of Allowance (PTOL-85). (a) The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, was received on _____ (with a Certificate of Mailing or Transmission dated _), which is after the expiration of the statutory period for payment of the issue fee (and publication fee) set in the Notice of Allowance (PTOL-85). (b) The submitted fee of \$____ is insufficient. A balance of \$____ is due. The issue fee required by 37 CFR 1.18 is \$_____. The publication fee, if required by 37 CFR 1.18(d), is \$____. (c) The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, has not been received. 3. Applicant's failure to timely file corrected drawings as required by, and within the three-month period set in, the Notice of Allowability (PTO-37). (a) Proposed corrected drawings were received on _____ (with a Certificate of Mailing or Transmission dated _____), which is after the expiration of the period for reply. (b) No corrected drawings have been received. 4. The letter of express abandonment which is signed by the attorney or agent of record, the assignee of the entire interest, or all of the applicants. 5. The letter of express abandonment which is signed by an attorney or agent (acting in a representative capacity under 37 CFR 1.34(a)) upon the filing of a continuing application. 6. The decision by the Board of Patent Appeals and Interference rendered on and because the period for seeking court review of the decision has expired and there are no allowed claims. 7. The reason(s) below: See Continuation Sheet

Petitions to revive under 37 CFR 1.137(a) or (b), or requests to withdraw the holding of abandonment under 37 CFR 1.181, should be promptly filed to

minimize any negative effects on patent term.

Item 7 - Other reasons for holding abandonment: The Examiner called Applicants' attorney, Ms. Carol A. Schneider to confirm the status of the instant application. Attorney Schneider indicated she would call the Examiner back and confirm the instant application's status. Ms. Schneider, J.D. left a voice mail message for Examiner Alstrum-Acevedo indicating that Applicants did not file a response to the office aciton mailed on September 18, 2006 and have opted to let the instant application go abandoned..